

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
5

6 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 DERRICK YOUNG, *et al.*,

10 Defendants.
11

Case No. 2:13-CR-00149-KJD-CWH

ORDER

12 Before the Court is the Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation (#42) regarding
13 Defendants' Motions to Suppress (##25, 26). Defendants have been granted multiple extensions of
14 time to file objections (## 44, 49). Ultimately, Defendants were given until March 11, 2014 to file
15 any objections to the Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation (#49). No objections have been
16 filed.

17 The Court's obligation is "to arrive at its own independent conclusion about those portions of
18 the magistrate's report to which objections are made." United States v. Remsing, 874 F.2d 614, 618
19 (9th Cir. 1989). Specifically, the Court is to engage in "de novo" review of the findings and
20 recommendations objected to. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) (2012). As no objections have been made,
21 the Court **HEREBY ADOPTS** and **AFFIRMS** the Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation.

22 DATED this 17th day of March 2014.
23

24 

25 _____
26 Kent J. Dawson
United States District Judge